Hydrologic and Climate Science at NCAR

WaterNow Alliance Summit Boulder,

David Yates – NCAR, RAL Hydrometeorology Applications Program yates@ucar.edu







NCAR/NSF Scientific facilities

- US National Science Foundation FFRDC
- 900 Staff, 500 Scientists/Engineers, 4 Boulder campuses
 - Governed by > 70 universities

Earth Observing Laboratory



NESL NCAR Earth System Laboratory

EOL

CISL Computational & Information Systems

RAL Research Applications Laboratory ISP: Integrated Science Program (crosscutting)



Global Climate Modeling 101





Climate Model Development and their Components



Climate of the last Millennium



GCM's – A Grid of Points over Earth

(Precipitation is the most difficult modeled variable)



UCAR Confidential and Proprietary. © 2008, University Corporation for Atmospheric Research. All rights reserved.

Resolving the Regional Climate



What Does a Climate Model look like?



NCAR Community Earth System Model

<u>Atmospheric Component:</u> Precipitable Water Vapor

Common Obstacles :Integrating Spatial ScalesTemporal Needs





Water: Precipitation ≠ Precipitation

Application-specific understanding and evaluation needed



Itaipu : Hydropower



Mexico : Drought



Panama : Flash Flood



Haiti : Hurricane

High-resolution analyses and underlying biases in climate fields



Improving Predictions of Regional Changes in Weather and Climate The Nested Regional Climate Model

High Resolution Climate Modeling



Jim Hurrell / Greg Holland

Importance of Resolution



Water Planning Need: Ability to model interactions across physical and management systems



High Resolution, Regional Climate Modeling

- V3.4.1 WRF model with a 4-km-spacing domain of *1360x1016x51* points
- Physics parameterizations:
 - 1. Thompson aerosol-aware microphysics
 - 2. Noah-MP LSM
 - 3. YSU PBL
 - 4. RRTMG radiation
- Use of spectral nudging
- Novel method for devising forcing from CMIP5 projections
 - CMIP5 (19) model ensemble mean climate
 - eliminate natural variations

WRF Model Domain



Winter cold biases from test runs Compared to PRISM observations



WaterRF January 2017

Results after LSM improvement (vegetation-dependent snow fraction/melt curves)



WaterRF January 2017

SWE underprediction from test runs



WaterRF January 2017

Summer warm biases from test runs



August 2001

WaterRF January 2017

Results with spectral nudging plus optimal selection of physics options in LSM



August 2001

WaterRF January 2017

2008 January

February

March



July

August

September

2008 October

November

WRF

An Example of the Co-Production of Climate Information and Application

Water Interests	Support Agencies	Additional Interest
Aurora Water	Water Research Foundation	Cheyenne Board of Public Utilities, WY
City of Boulder	Western Water Assessment	City of Longmont
Colorado Springs Utilities	Riverside Technology, inc.	City of Westminster
Denver Water	NCAR	Others Welcome
City of Fort Collins		
Northern Water		
Colorado Water Conservation Board		

- New planning techniques multiple futures
- Understanding uncertainty and science for applications
- Adaptive planning identifying and preserving options
- Mainstreaming new culture into organization-wide decisions

Questions?

